

1 Douglas J. Melton, Bar No. 161353  
2 Shane M. Cahill, Bar No. 227972  
3 LONG & LEVIT LLP  
4 465 California Street, 5th Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94104  
6 Telephone: (415) 397-2222  
7 Facsimile: (415) 397-6392  
8 Email: [dmelton@longlevit.com](mailto:dmelton@longlevit.com)  
[scahill@longlevit.com](mailto:scahill@longlevit.com)

9 Attorneys for San Francisco Defendants

10 [Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 JANE ROE, et al.

Civil Case No. 14-cv-03616-LB

14 Plaintiffs,  
15 v.  
16 SFBSC MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,  
17 Defendants.

Related Cases: 16-cv-03371-LB  
17-cv-00138-LB  
17-cv-05288-LB  
17-cv-06971-LB  
19-cv-03960-LB

**UPDATED CASE MANAGEMENT  
STATEMENT AND REQUEST TO  
CONTINUE 4/21/2022 HEARING ON  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT**

The Honorable Laurel Beeler

18 NICOLE HUGHES, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

19 S.A.W. ENTERTAINMENT, LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

20 ELANA PERA, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

21 S.A.W. ENTERTAINMENT, LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

1 POOHRAWN MEHRABAN,  
2

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

5 BT California, LLC, et al.,  
6

7 Defendants.  
8

9 ELAINE GOMEZ-ORTEGA, et al.  
10

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DÉJÀ VU – SAN FRANCISCO, LLC, et al.,  
14

15 Defendants.  
16

17 JANE ROES 1 AND 2, et al.  
18

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 DÉJÀ VU SERVICES, INC., et al.,  
22

23 Defendants.  
24

25

26

27

28

1           After extensive negotiations between the parties, an agreement in principle has been  
2 reached to resolve the objections to the Settlement Agreement in the “San Francisco Roe”  
3 (Case No. 14-cv-03616-LB) and “San Diego Roe” (Case No. 19-cv-03960-LB ) actions,  
4 which were filed by the Lichten & Liss-Riordan law firm on February 25, 2022 and March 21,  
5 2022, respectively. *See*, San Francisco Roe ECF No. 244; San Diego Roe ECF No. 109. The  
6 parties are evaluating necessary modifications to the Settlement Agreement that will or may  
7 need to be made as part of resolution of the objections. Moreover, the parties will be  
8 preparing and submitting briefing, including a potential motion or proposed stipulation and  
9 order, to the Court for approval of resolution of the objections pursuant to Fed. Rule of Civ.  
10 Pro. Sec. 23(e)(5)(B). Based on the foregoing, and after conferring with Plaintiffs’ and  
11 Objectors’ counsel, the parties propose that the April 21, 2022 hearing on Plaintiffs’ motion  
12 for preliminary approval be continued 21 days, or to a later date as convenient for the Court.

13

14 DATED: April 19, 2022

LONG &amp; LEVIT LLP

15

By: /s/ *Shane M. Cahill*

16

DOUGLAS J. MELTON, SBN 161353

17

SHANE M. CAHILL, SBN 227972

18

Attorneys for Defendants

19

SFBSC MANAGEMENT, LLC and the SAN

20

FRANCISCO NIGHTCLUBS

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28